

**DRAFT**

**Environmental Impact Report**  
State Clearinghouse Number: 2000042073

**NORTHEAST SAN JOSE TRANSMISSION  
REINFORCEMENT PROJECT**

Prepared for:

**California Public Utilities Commission**

Prepared by:

**Aspen Environmental Group**

**Application Number: 99-09-029**

**June 2000**

**NORTHEAST SAN JOSE TRANSMISSION REINFORCEMENT PROJECT**  
**DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT**

---

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY . . . . .</b>	ES-1
<b>A. INTRODUCTION/OVERVIEW</b>	
A.1 History and Overview of Proposed Project . . . . .	A-1
A.2 Need for the Proposed Project . . . . .	A-2
A.3 Agency Use Of This Document . . . . .	A-9
A.4 Reader's Guide to this Document . . . . .	A-12
<b>B. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED PROJECT, ALTERNATIVES AND SCENARIO FOR ANALYSIS OF CUMULATIVE IMPACTS</b>	
B.1 Introduction . . . . .	B-1
B.2 Description of the Proposed Project . . . . .	B-1
B.2.1 Overview of the Proposed Project . . . . .	B-1
B.2.2 Proposed Project Components . . . . .	B-2
B.3 Proposed Project Construction . . . . .	B-19
B.3.1 General Construction Procedures . . . . .	B-19
B.3.2 Construction of Proposed Los Esteros Substation . . . . .	B-23
B.3.3 Construction at the Newark Substation . . . . .	B-24
B.3.4 Construction of the 230kV Transmission Line . . . . .	B-26
B.3.5 115kV Power Lines . . . . .	B-33
B.4 Operation and Maintenance Procedures . . . . .	B-37
B.5 Projects Alternatives Overview and Screening . . . . .	B-38
B.5.1 CEQA Requirements for Alternatives . . . . .	B-38
B.5.2 Alternatives Screening Methodology . . . . .	B-38
B.5.3 Summary of Screening Results . . . . .	B-40
B.5.4 Alternatives Eliminated from Further Consideration . . . . .	B-41
B.6 Description of Project Alternatives Analyzed in this EIR . . . . .	B-50
B.6.1 230kV Transmission Line Alternatives . . . . .	B-50
B.6.2 Alternative Substation Sites . . . . .	B-65
B.6.3 Trimble-Montague 115kV Upgrade Alternatives . . . . .	B-66
B.7 No Project Alternative . . . . .	B-69
B.8 Scenario for Analysis of Cumulative Impacts . . . . .	B-70
<b>C. ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS</b>	
<b>C.1 Introduction</b>	
C.1.1 Introduction/Background . . . . .	C.1-1
C.1.2 Contents of Part C . . . . .	C.1-1
C.1.3 Assessment Methodology . . . . .	C.1-2
C.1.4 Impact Significance Categories . . . . .	C.1-3
<b>C.2 Air Quality</b>	
C.2.1 Environmental Baseline and Regulatory Setting . . . . .	C.2-1
C.2.1.1 Environmental Setting . . . . .	C.2-1
C.2.1.2 Applicable Regulations, Plans, and Standards . . . . .	C.2-6

**NORTHEAST SAN JOSE TRANSMISSION REINFORCEMENT PROJECT**  
**DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT**

---

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
C.2.2 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project . . . . .	C.2-8
C.2.2.1 Introduction . . . . .	C.2-8
C.2.2.2 Definition and Use of Significance Criteria . . . . .	C.2-8
C.2.2.3 Applicant Proposed Measures . . . . .	C.2-10
C.2.2.4 Construction of the Proposed Project . . . . .	C.2-10
C.2.2.5 Proposed Operations . . . . .	C.2-12
C.2.2.6 Cumulative Impacts And Mitigation Measures . . . . .	C.2-13
C.2.3 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures: Alternatives . . . . .	C.2-16
C.2.3.1 Underground Through Business Park . . . . .	C.2-17
C.2.3.2 I-880-A Alternative . . . . .	C.2-17
C.2.3.3 I-880-B Alternative . . . . .	C.2-17
C.2.3.4 Westerly Route Alternative . . . . .	C.2-18
C.2.3.5 Westerly Upgrade Alternative . . . . .	C.2-18
C.2.3.6 Substation Alternatives . . . . .	C.2-18
C.2.3.7 Trimble-Montague 115kV Upgrade Alternatives . . . . .	C.2-19
C.2.4 The No Project Alternative . . . . .	C.2-19
C.2.5 Mitigation Monitoring Program . . . . .	C.2-19
C.2.6 References . . . . .	C.2-21
<b>C.3 Biological Resources</b>	
C.3.1 Environmental Baseline and Regulatory Setting . . . . .	C.3-1
C.3.1.1 Regional Overview . . . . .	C.3-1
C.3.1.2 Environmental Setting . . . . .	C.3-17
C.3.1.3 Applicable Regulations, Plans, and Standards . . . . .	C.3-42
C.3.2 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project . . . . .	C.3-44
C.3.2.1 Introduction . . . . .	C.3-44
C.3.2.2 Definition and Use of Significance Criteria . . . . .	C.3-44
C.3.2.3 Applicant Proposed Measures . . . . .	C.3-47
C.3.2.4 Proposed 230kV Transmission Line Route . . . . .	C.3-52
C.3.2.5 Proposed Substation Site and 115kV Lines . . . . .	C.3-72
C.3.2.6 Proposed Trimble-Montague Upgrade . . . . .	C.3-72
C.3.2.7 Cumulative Impacts and Mitigation Measures . . . . .	C.3-73
C.3.2.8 Unavoidable significant Impacts . . . . .	C.3-73
C.3.3 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures: Alternatives . . . . .	C.3-73
C.3.3.1 Underground Through Business Park . . . . .	C.3-73
C.3.3.2 I-880-A Alternative . . . . .	C.3-74
C.3.3.3 I-880-B Alternative . . . . .	C.3-75
C.3.3.4 Westerly Route Alternative . . . . .	C.3-76
C.3.3.5 Substation Alternatives . . . . .	C.3-77
C.3.3.6 Trimble-Montague 115kV Upgrade Alternatives . . . . .	C.3-79
C.3.4 The No Project Alternative . . . . .	C.3-80
C.3.5 Mitigation Monitoring Program . . . . .	C.3-80
C.3.6 References . . . . .	C.3-83
<b>C.4 Cultural Resources</b>	
C.4.1 Environmental Baseline and Regulatory Setting . . . . .	C.4-1
C.4.1.1 Regional Overview . . . . .	C.4-1
C.4.1.2 Environmental Setting . . . . .	C.4-1

**NORTHEAST SAN JOSE TRANSMISSION REINFORCEMENT PROJECT**  
**DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT**

---

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
C.4.1.3 Applicable Regulations, Plans, and Standards . . . . .	C.4-2
C.4.1.4 Historic Period . . . . .	C.4-6
C.4.1.5 Cultured Resources in the Proposed Project Area . . . . .	C.4-7
C.4.1.6 Cultural Resources in the Area of Alternatives . . . . .	C.4-8
C.4.1.7 Applicable Cultural Resource Laws and Regulations . . . . .	C.4-10
C.4.2 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project . . . . .	C.4-12
C.4.2.1 Introduction . . . . .	C.4-12
C.4.2.2 Definition and Use of Significance Criteria . . . . .	C.4-12
C.4.2.3 Applicant Proposed Measures . . . . .	C.4-13
C.4.2.4 Impacts of the Proposed Projects . . . . .	C.4-13
C.4.2.5 Cumulative Impacts and Mitigation Measures . . . . .	C.4-15
C.4.3 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures: Alternatives . . . . .	C.4-16
C.4.3.1 Introduction . . . . .	C.4-16
C.4.3.2 Underground Through Business Park . . . . .	C.4-16
C.4.3.3 I-880-A Alternative . . . . .	C.4-16
C.4.3.4 I-880-B Alternative . . . . .	C.4-17
C.4.3.5 Westerly Route Alternative . . . . .	C.4-17
C.4.3.6 Westerly Upgrade Alternative . . . . .	C.4-17
C.4.3.7 Northern Receiving Station Alternative . . . . .	C.4-17
C.4.3.8 Substation Alternatives . . . . .	C.4-18
C.4.3.9 Trimble-Montague 115kV Upgrade Alternatives . . . . .	C.4-18
C.4.3.10 Barber 115 kV Alternative . . . . .	C.4-18
C.4.4 The No Project Alternative . . . . .	C.4-18
C.4.5 Mitigation Monitoring Program . . . . .	C.4-18
C.4.6 References . . . . .	C.4-21
<b>C.5 Geology, Soils, and Paleontology</b>	
C.5.1 Environmental Baseline and Regulatory Setting . . . . .	C.5-1
C.5.1.1 Regional Overview . . . . .	C.5-1
C.5.1.2 Environmental Setting . . . . .	C.5-1
C.5.1.3 Applicable Regulations, Plans, and Standards . . . . .	C.5-11
C.5.2 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project . . . . .	C.5-12
C.5.2.1 Introduction . . . . .	C.5-12
C.5.2.2 Definition and Use of Significance Criteria . . . . .	C.5-12
C.5.2.3 Applicant Proposed Measures . . . . .	C.5-13
C.5.2.4 Geologic Impacts and Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project . . . . .	C.5-13
C.5.2.5 Cumulative Impacts and Mitigation Measures . . . . .	C.5-20
C.5.3 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures: Alternatives . . . . .	C.5-20
C.5.3.1 Proposed Route Underground Through Business Park . . . . .	C.5-20
C.5.3.2 I-880-A Alternative . . . . .	C.5-21
C.5.3.3 I-880-B Alternative . . . . .	C.5-21
C.5.3.4 Westerly Route Alternative . . . . .	C.5-21
C.5.3.5 Westerly Upgrade Alternative . . . . .	C.5-22
C.5.3.6 Substation Alternatives . . . . .	C.5-22
C.5.3.7 Trimble-Montague 115kV Upgrade Alternatives . . . . .	C.5-22
C.5.4 The No Project Alternative . . . . .	C.5-23

**NORTHEAST SAN JOSE TRANSMISSION REINFORCEMENT PROJECT**  
**DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT**

---

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
C.5.5 Mitigation Monitoring Program .....	C.5-23
C.5.6 References .....	C.5-25
<b>C.6 Hydrology and Water Quality</b>	
C.6.1 Environmental Baseline and Regulatory Setting .....	C.6-1
C.6.1.1 Regional Overview .....	C.6-1
C.6.1.2 Environmental Setting .....	C.6-2
C.6.1.3 Applicable Regulations, Plans, and Standards .....	C.6-14
C.6.2 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project .....	C.6-17
C.6.2.1 Introduction .....	C.6-17
C.6.2.2 Definition and Use of Significance Criteria .....	C.6-17
C.6.2.3 Applicant Proposed Measures .....	C.6-19
C.6.2.4 Proposed 230kV Transmission Line Route .....	C.6-20
C.6.2.5 Proposed Substation Site and 115kV Lines .....	C.6-25
C.6.2.6 Proposed Trimble-Montague Upgrade .....	C.6-27
C.6.2.7 Cumulative Impacts And Mitigation Measures .....	C.6-28
C.6.3 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures: Alternatives .....	C.6-29
C.6.3.1 Underground Through Business Park .....	C.6-29
C.6.3.2 I-880-A Alternative .....	C.6-30
C.6.3.3 I-880-B Alternative .....	C.6-31
C.6.3.4 Westerly Route Alternative .....	C.6-31
C.6.3.5 Westerly Upgrade Alternative .....	C.6-34
C.6.3.6 Substation Alternatives .....	C.6-34
C.6.3.7 Trimble-Montague 115kV Upgrade Alternatives .....	C.6-36
C.6.4 The No Project Alternative .....	C.6-37
C.6.5 Mitigation Monitoring Program .....	C.6-37
C.6.6 References .....	C.6-42
<b>C.7 Land Use and Public Recreation</b>	
C.7.1 Environmental Baseline and Regulatory Setting .....	C.7-1
C.7.1.1 Regional Overview .....	C.7-1
C.7.1.2 Environmental Setting .....	C.7-1
C.7.1.3 Applicable Regulations, Plans, and Standards .....	C.7-9
C.7.2 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project .....	C.7-11
C.7.2.1 Introduction .....	C.7-35
C.7.2.2 Definition and Use of Significance Criteria .....	C.7-35
C.7.2.3 Applicant Proposed Measures .....	C.7-36
C.7.2.4 Proposed 230kV Transmission Line Route .....	C.7-37
C.7.2.5 Proposed Substation Site .....	C.7-43
C.7.2.6 Proposed Trimble-Montague Upgrade .....	C.7-48
C.7.2.7 Cumulative Impacts And Mitigation Measures .....	C.7-49
C.7.3 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures: Alternatives .....	C.7-50
C.7.3.1 Underground Through Business Park .....	C.7-50
C.7.3.2 I-880-A Alternative .....	C.7-50
C.7.3.3 I-880-B Alternative .....	C.7-52
C.7.3.4 Westerly Route Alternative .....	C.7-53
C.7.3.5 Westerly Upgrade Alternative .....	C.7-56

**NORTHEAST SAN JOSE TRANSMISSION REINFORCEMENT PROJECT**  
**DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT**

---

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
C.7.3.6 Substation Alternatives .....	C.7-58
C.7.3.7 Trimble-Montague 115kV Alternatives .....	C.7-61
C.7.4 The No Project Alternative .....	C.7-63
C.7.5 Mitigation Monitoring Program .....	C.7-63
C.7.6 References .....	C.7-68
<b>C.8 Noise and Vibration</b>	
C.8.1 Environmental Baseline and Regulatory Setting .....	C.8-1
C.8.1.1 Regional Overview .....	C.8-1
C.8.1.2 Environmental Setting .....	C.8-4
C.8.2 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project .....	C.8-9
C.8.2.1 Introduction .....	C.8-9
C.8.2.2 Definition and Use of Significance Criteria .....	C.8-10
C.8.2.3 Applicant Proposed Measures .....	C.8-11
C.8.2.4 Proposed 230kV Transmission Line Route .....	C.8-12
C.8.2.5 Proposed Los Esteros Substation and 115kV Connections .....	C.8-15
C.8.2.6 Proposed Trimble-Montague Upgrade .....	C.8-16
C.8.2.7 Cumulative Impacts And Mitigation Measures .....	C.8-17
C.8.3 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures: Alternatives .....	C.8-17
C.8.3.1 Underground Through Business Park .....	C.8-18
C.8.3.2 I-880-A Alternative .....	C.8-18
C.8.3.3 I-880-B Alternative .....	C.8-18
C.8.3.4 Westerly Route Alternative .....	C.8-19
C.8.3.5 Westerly Upgrade Alternative .....	C.8-19
C.8.3.6 Substation Alternatives .....	C.8-20
C.8.3.7 Trimble-Montague 115kV Upgrade Alternatives .....	C.8-20
C.8.4 The No Project Alternative .....	C.8-20
C.8.5 Mitigation Monitoring Program .....	C.8-21
C.8.6 References .....	C.8-21
<b>C.9 Public Health, Safety and Nuisance</b>	
C.9.1 Environmental Baseline and Regulatory Setting .....	C.9-1
C.9.1.1 Characteristics of the Study Region and Project Area .....	C.9-1
C.9.1.2 Electric and Magnetic Fields .....	C.9-1
C.9.1.3 Other Field Related Public Concerns .....	C.9-9
C.9.2 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project .....	C.9-11
C.9.2.1 Definition and Use of Significance Criteria .....	C.9-11
C.9.2.2 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures .....	C.9-12
C.9.2.3 Cumulative Impacts And Mitigation Measures .....	C.9-16
C.9.2.4 Unavoidable Significant Impacts .....	C.9-17
C.9.3 Alternative Alignments and Substation Sites .....	C.9-17
C.9.4 The No Project Alternative .....	C.9-18
C.9.5 Mitigation Monitoring Program .....	C.9-18
C.9.6 References .....	C.9-20

**NORTHEAST SAN JOSE TRANSMISSION REINFORCEMENT PROJECT**  
**DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT**

---

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
<b>C.10 Socioeconomics and Public Services</b>	
C.10.1 Environmental Baseline and Regulatory Setting . . . . .	C.10-1
C.10.1.1 Regional Overview . . . . .	C.10-1
C.10.1.2 Environmental Setting . . . . .	C.10-1
C.10.1.3 Applicable Regulations, Plans, and Standards . . . . .	C.10-5
C.10.2 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project . . . . .	C.10-6
C.10.2.1 Introduction . . . . .	C.10-6
C.10.2.2 Definition and Use of Significance Criteria . . . . .	C.10-7
C.10.2.3 Applicant Proposed Measures . . . . .	C.10-8
C.10.2.4 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures . . . . .	C.10-9
C.10.2.5 Cumulative Impacts And Mitigation Measures . . . . .	C.10-13
C.10.3 Alternative Transmission Line Alignments and Substation Sites . . . . .	C.10-13
C.10.3.1 Underground Through Business Park . . . . .	C.10-14
C.10.3.2 I-880-A Alternative . . . . .	C.10-14
C.10.3.3 I-880-B Alternative . . . . .	C.10-14
C.10.3.4 Westerly Route Alternative and Westerly Upgrade Alternative . . . . .	C.10-14
C.10.3.5 Substation Alternatives . . . . .	C.10-14
C.10.3.6 Trimble-Montague 115kV Upgrade Alternatives . . . . .	C.10-15
C.10.4 The No Project Alternative . . . . .	C.10-16
C.10.5 Mitigation Monitoring Program . . . . .	C.10-16
C.10.6 References . . . . .	C.10-16
<b>C.11 Transportation and Traffic</b>	
C.11.1 Environmental Baseline and Regulatory Setting . . . . .	C.11-1
C.11.1.1 Regional Overview . . . . .	C.11-1
C.11.1.2 Environmental Setting . . . . .	C.11-1
C.11.1.3 Applicable Regulations, Plans, and Standards . . . . .	C.11-7
C.11.2 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project . . . . .	C.11-8
C.11.2.1 Introduction . . . . .	C.11-8
C.11.2.2 Definition and Use of Significance Criteria . . . . .	C.11-8
C.11.2.3 Applicant Proposed Measures . . . . .	C.11-9
C.11.2.4 Proposed 230kV Transmission Line Route . . . . .	C.11-10
C.11.2.5 Proposed Substation Site . . . . .	C.11-16
C.11.2.6 Proposed Trimble-Montague Upgrade . . . . .	C.11-17
C.11.2.7 Cumulative Impacts And Mitigation Measures . . . . .	C.11-19
C.11.3 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures: Alternatives . . . . .	C.11-19
C.11.3.1 Underground Through Business Park . . . . .	C.11-19
C.11.3.2 I-880-A Alternative . . . . .	C.11-23
C.11.3.3 I-880-B Alternative . . . . .	C.11-24
C.11.3.4 Westerly Route Alternative . . . . .	C.11-25
C.11.3.5 Westerly Upgrade Alternative . . . . .	C.11-25
C.11.3.6 Northern Receiving Station Alternative . . . . .	C.11-25
C.11.3.7 Substation Alternatives . . . . .	C.11-26
C.11.3.8 Trimble-Montague 115kV Upgrade Alternatives . . . . .	C.11-27
C.11.4 The No Project Alternative . . . . .	C.11-28
C.11.5 Mitigation Monitoring Program . . . . .	C.11-28

**NORTHEAST SAN JOSE TRANSMISSION REINFORCEMENT PROJECT**  
**DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT**

---

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
C.11.6 References . . . . .	C.11-28
<b>C.12 Visual Resources</b>	
C.12.1 Environmental Baseline and Regulatory Setting . . . . .	C.12-1
C.12.1.1 Regional Overview . . . . .	C.12-1
C.12.1.2 Environmental Setting . . . . .	C.12-1
C.12.1.3 Applicable Regulations, Plans, and Standards . . . . .	C.12-7
C.12.2 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project . . . . .	C.12-7
C.12.2.1 Introduction . . . . .	C.12-7
C.12.2.2 Definition and Use of Significance Criteria . . . . .	C.12-8
C.12.2.3 Applicant Proposed Measures . . . . .	C.12-9
C.12.2.4 Applicable Regulations, Plans, and Standards . . . . .	C.12-9
C.12.2.5 Short-Term Construction Impacts . . . . .	C.12-12
C.12.2.6 Proposed 230kV Transmission Line Route . . . . .	C.12-12
C.12.2.7 Proposed Substation Site and 115kV Lines . . . . .	C.12-15
C.12.2.8 Proposed Trimble-Montague Upgrade . . . . .	C.12-16
C.12.2.9 Cumulative Impacts And Mitigation Measures . . . . .	C.12-16
C.12.3 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures: Alternatives . . . . .	C.12-17
C.12.3.1 Underground Through Business Park . . . . .	C.12-17
C.12.3.2 I-880-A Alternative . . . . .	C.12-18
C.12.3.3 I-880-B Alternative . . . . .	C.12-21
C.12.3.4 Westerly Route Alternative . . . . .	C.12-23
C.12.3.5 Westerly Upgrade Alternative . . . . .	C.12-26
C.12.3.6 Substation Alternatives . . . . .	C.12-30
C.12.3.7 Trimble-Montague 115kV Upgrade Alternatives . . . . .	C.12-33
C.12.4 The No Project Alternative . . . . .	C.12-36
C.12.5 Mitigation Monitoring Program . . . . .	C.12-36
C.12.6 References . . . . .	C.12-38
<b>D. COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES</b> . . . . .	D-1
<b>E. OTHER CEQA CONSIDERATIONS</b> . . . . .	E-1
<b>F. PROPOSED MITIGATION MONITORING, COMPLIANCE, AND REPORTING PLAN</b> . . . . .	F-1
<b>G. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION</b> . . . . .	G-1
<b>APPENDICES</b>	
1. Glossary/Abbreviations	
List of Preparers and Their Qualifications	
Draft EIR Distribution List	
2. Notice of Preparation and Initial Study	
3. Land Use Policy Consistency Analysis	
4. Summary of Underground Transmission Line Technology	

**NORTHEAST SAN JOSE TRANSMISSION REINFORCEMENT PROJECT**  
**DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT**

---

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	
ES-1	Environmentally Superior Project Components . . . . .
ES-2	Summary of Project Components . . . . .
ES-3	Characteristics of Proposed Project and Alternative Segments . . . . .
ES-4	Northern Route Comparison . . . . .
ES-5	Central Route Comparison . . . . .
ES-6	Complete 230kV Route Comparison . . . . .
ES-7	Substation Comparison . . . . .
ES-8	115kV Upgrade Comparison . . . . .
ES-9	No Project Alternative Compared to Proposed Project and Environmentally Superior Alternative . . . . .
ES-10	Impact Summary Table . . . . .
A.3-1	Regulatory Agency Permits or Approvals . . . . .
B.2-1	Summary of Project Facilities . . . . .
B.3-1	PG&E Co.'s Proposed Construction Schedule . . . . .
B.3-2	Major Equipment Used During Substation Construction . . . . .
B.3-3	PG&E Co.'s Proposed Tree Species Adjacent to WPCP . . . . .
B.5-1	Summary of Alternative Screening Results . . . . .
B.8-1	Cumulative Project Scenario - Approved and Pending Projects . . . . .
C.2-1	Monthly Temperature and Precipitation . . . . .
C.2-2	National and California Air Quality Standards . . . . .
C.2-3	Summary of Health Effects of the Major Criteria Pollutants . . . . .
C.2-4	Bay Area Attainment Status . . . . .
C.2-5	Air Quality Summary . . . . .
C.2-6	Toxic Air Pollutant Measurements . . . . .
C.2-7	BAAQMD Control Measures for Construction Emissions of PM <sub>10</sub> . . . . .
C.2-8	Thresholds of Significance for Project Operations . . . . .
C.2-9	Applicant Proposed Measures . . . . .
C.2-10	230kV Transmission Line Construction Equipment by Phase . . . . .
C.2-11	Substation Construction Equipment by Activity . . . . .
C.2-12	Estimated Construction Emissions (Daily and Total Project) . . . . .
C.2-13	Mitigation Monitoring Program . . . . .
C.3-1	Regional Wildlife Habitats and Associated Vegetation . . . . .
C.3-2	Special Status Plant Species Known to Occur in the Region of the Proposed Project Right-of-Way . . . . .
C.3-3	Special Status Wildlife Species That May Occur Within the Proposed Project Right of Way . . . . .
C.3-4	Comparison of Plant Community Classifications . . . . .
C.3-5	Special Status Plant Species Observed in the Study Area of the Proposed Project Route, Listed by Milepost . . . . .
C.3-6	Applicant Proposed Measures . . . . .
C.3-7	Avoidance and Buffer Requirements for Reducing Impacts to Special Status Species . . . . .
C.3-8	Mitigation Monitoring Program . . . . .
C.4-1	The Chartkoff and Chartkoff Model of Cultural Periods in California . . . . .

**NORTHEAST SAN JOSE TRANSMISSION REINFORCEMENT PROJECT**  
**DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT**

---

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
<b>LIST OF TABLES (continued)</b>	
C.4-2	Comparison of California Cultural Period with Temporal Phases of Central California . . . . .
C.4-3	C.4-13
C.4-4	Mitigation Monitoring Plan . . . . .
C.5-1	C.4-19
C.5-1	Modified Mercalli Intensity Scale . . . . .
C.5-2	C.5-6
C.5-2	Fault Activity in the Project Area . . . . .
C.5-3	C.5-7
C.5-3	Applicant Proposed Measures for Geologic Impacts . . . . .
C.5-3	C.5-14
C.5-3	Mitigation Monitoring Program . . . . .
C.6-1	C.5-24
C.6-1	FEMA Flood Designations in the Project Area . . . . .
C.6-2	C.6-6
C.6-2	Applicant Proposed Measures for Hydrology . . . . .
C.6-3	C.6-19
C.6-3	Mitigation Monitoring Program . . . . .
C.7-1	C.6-38
C.7-1	Applicable Clearances . . . . .
C.7-2	C.7-20
C.7-2	Mitigation Monitoring Program . . . . .
C.8-1	C.7-49
C.8-1	Noise Measurement Results . . . . .
C.8-2	C.8-5
C.8-2	Distance of Noise-Sensitive Receptors to the Proposed 230kV Transmission Line . . . . .
C.8-3	C.8-5
C.8-3	Provides Examples of Protective Noise Levels Recommended by USEPA . . . . .
C.8-4	C.8-6
C.8-4	Land Use Compatibility for Community Noise Environment . . . . .
C.8-5	C.8-7
C.8-5	City of Fremont Noise Ordinance Level Limits . . . . .
C.8-6	C.8-8
C.8-6	City of Santa Clara Exterior Noise Limits . . . . .
C.8-7	C.8-9
C.8-7	Vibration Criteria . . . . .
C.8-8	C.8-11
C.8-8	Applicant Proposed Measures for Noise and Vibration . . . . .
C.8-9	C.8-11
C.8-9	Noise Characteristics of Construction Equipment . . . . .
C.8-10	C.8-13
C.8-10	Mitigation Monitoring Program . . . . .
C.9-1	C.8-22
C.9-1	Magnetic Field From Household Appliance . . . . .
C.9-2	C.9-3
C.9-2	EMF Regulated Limits (by State) . . . . .
C.9-3	C.9-8
C.9-3	Mitigation Monitoring Program . . . . .
C.10-1	C.9-19
C.10-1	Project Area Employment Trends: 1990-2020 . . . . .
C.10-2	C.10-2
C.10-2	Corridor Population Trends: 1990-2020 . . . . .
C.10-3	C.10-2
C.10-3	Population, Race, Hispanic Origin: 1990 . . . . .
C.10-4	C.10-3
C.10-4	Housing Characteristics: 1990 . . . . .
C.10-5	C.10-3
C.10-5	Mitigation Monitoring Program . . . . .
C.11-1	C.10-17
C.11-1	Traffic Volumes Along Proposed Transmission Line Route . . . . .
C.11-2	C.11-2
C.11-2	Public Bus Service Near Proposed Transmission Line Routes . . . . .
C.11-3	C.11-7
C.11-3	Applicant Proposed Measures for Impacts to Traffic . . . . .
C.11-4	C.11-9
C.11-4	Traffic Volumes Along Alternative Routes . . . . .
C.11-5	C.11-20
C.11-5	Mitigation Monitoring Program . . . . .
C.12-1	C.11-29
C.12-1	General Guidance for Determination of Impact Significance . . . . .
C.12-2	C.12-8
C.12-2	Applicable Regulations, Plans, and Standards . . . . .
D.3-1	C.12-10
D.3-1	Characteristics of Proposed Project and Alternative Segments . . . . .
D.3-2	D-5
D.3-2	Northern Route Comparison . . . . .
D.3-3	D-10
D.3-3	Central Route Comparison . . . . .
D.3-4	D-11
D.3-4	Complete 230kV Route Comparison . . . . .
D.3-5	D-12
D.3-5	Substation Comparison . . . . .
D.3-6	D-13
D.3-6	115 kV Upgrade Comparison . . . . .
	D-14

**NORTHEAST SAN JOSE TRANSMISSION REINFORCEMENT PROJECT**  
**DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT**

---

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
<b>LIST OF TABLES (continued)</b>	
D.3-7      No Project Alternative Compared to Proposed Project and Environmentally Superior Alternative . . . . .	D-15

**LIST OF FIGURES**

ES-1      Proposed Project and All Alternatives . . . . .	ES-3
ES-2      Environmentally Superior Project Components . . . . .	ES-5
A.1-1      Regional Project Location . . . . .	A-4
A.2-1      Existing, Approved & Proposed Transmission System . . . . .	A-7
B.2-1      Proposed Project Location and Facilities . . . . .	B-3
B.2-2      Los Esteros Substation . . . . .	B-7
B.2-3      Plan and Elevation of Proposed Los Esteros 230 kV Substation . . . . .	B-9
B.2-4      Typical Tubular Twin-Leg Tower: Angle Structures . . . . .	B-11
B.2-5      Typical Tubular Twin-Leg Tower: In-Line Structures . . . . .	B-12
B.2-6      Typical Single-Leg Tubular Steel Suspension Tower . . . . .	B-13
B.2-7      Existing, Approved & Proposed Transmission System . . . . .	B-17
B.3-1      Newark Substation Modification Area . . . . .	B-25
B.3-2      Plan and Elevation of Newark Substation Modification . . . . .	B-26
B.3-3      115 kV Poles from Los Esteros Substation to SR 237 . . . . .	B-34
B.3-4      Los Esteros-Montague 115 kV Poles along Trimble Road and Montague Expressway . . . . .	B-36
B.5-1      Alternatives Eliminated from Consideration . . . . .	B-42
B.6-1      Proposed Project and Alternatives . . . . .	B-51
B.6-2      I-880-A Route Alternative and Proposed Route . . . . .	B-54
B.6-3      I-880-B Route Alternative; Underground Through Business Park Alternative, and Proposed Route . . . . .	B-55
B.6-4      Examples of Overhead to Underground Transition Structures . . . . .	B-59
B.6-5      Westerly Route Upgrade Alternative . . . . .	B-63
B.6-6      Zanker Substation Site Alternative . . . . .	B-67
B.8-1      Cumulative Projects . . . . .	B-73
C.2-1      Bay Area Air Quality Management District Jurisdiction . . . . .	C.2-2
C.3-1      Habitat and Land Cover . . . . .	C.3-19
C.3-2      Potential Special Status Species Presence . . . . .	C.4-27
C.5-1      Faults in the Project Vicinity . . . . .	C.5-3
C.6-1      Water Bodies in Project Area . . . . .	C.6-3
C.6-2      Flood Potential in the Project Vicinity . . . . .	C.6-9
C.6-3      Areas with Potential Soil and Groundwater Quality Degradation . . . . .	C.6-15
C.7-1      Jurisdictional Boundaries . . . . .	C.7-3
C.7-2      Existing Land Use . . . . .	C.7-5
C.7-3      Existing and Proposed Recreational Facilities . . . . .	C.7-9
C.8-1      Typical Range of Common Sounds . . . . .	C.8-2
C.8-2      Examples of Outdoor Day-Night Average sound Levels in dB Measured at Various Locations . . . . .	C.8-3

**NORTHEAST SAN JOSE TRANSMISSION REINFORCEMENT PROJECT**  
**DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT**

---

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES (continued)</b>	
C.11-1 Average Daily Traffic Volumes Within Study Area . . . . .	C.11-6
C.12-1 Key Viewpoint Locations . . . . .	end of Section C.12
C.12-2 Key Viewpoint 1(Proposed Project) . . . . .	end of Section C.12
C.12-3 Key Viewpoint 2(Proposed Project) . . . . .	end of Section C.12
C.12-4 Key Viewpoint 3(Proposed Project) . . . . .	end of Section C.12
C.12-5 Key Viewpoint 4(Proposed Project) . . . . .	end of Section C.12
C.12-6 Key Viewpoint 5(Proposed Los Esteros Substation) . . . . .	end of Section C.12
C.12-7A Proposed Trimble-Montague 115kV Upgrade . . . . .	end of Section C.12
C.12-7B Proposed Trimble-Montague 115kV Upgrade . . . . .	end of Section C.12
C.12-8 Key Viewpoint 6 (Underground Through Business Park) . . . . .	end of Section C.12
C.12-9 Key Viewpoint 7(I-880-A Alternative) . . . . .	end of Section C.12
C.12-10 Key Viewpoint 8(I-880-A Alternative) . . . . .	end of Section C.12
C.12-11 Key Viewpoint 9 (I-880-B Alternative) . . . . .	end of Section C.12
C.12-12 Key Viewpoint 10(I-880-B Alternative) . . . . .	end of Section C.12
C.12-13 Key Viewpoint 11(I-880-B Alternative) . . . . .	end of Section C.12
C.12-14 Key Viewpoint 12 (Westerly Alternative) . . . . .	end of Section C.12
C.12-15 Key Viewpoint 13 (Westerly Alternative) . . . . .	end of Section C.12
C.12-16 Key Viewpoint 14 (Westerly Alternative) . . . . .	end of Section C.12
C.12-17 Key Viewpoint 15 (Westerly Upgrade Alternative) . . . . .	end of Section C.12
C.12-18 Key Viewpoint 16 (Westerly Upgrade Alternative) . . . . .	end of Section C.12
C.12-19 Key Viewpoint 17 (Westerly Upgrade Alternative) . . . . .	end of Section C.12
C.12-20 Key Viewpoint 18 (Westerly Upgrade Alternative) . . . . .	end of Section C.12
C.12-21 Lafayette Street (Westerly Upgrade Alternative) . . . . .	end of Section C.12
C.12-22 Key Viewpoint 19 (Northern Receiving Station Alternative) . . . . .	end of Section C.12
C.12-23 Key Viewpoint 20 (Zanker Road Alternative Substation) . . . . .	end of Section C.12
C.12-24 Key Viewpoint 21 (Barber 115 kV Alternative) . . . . .	end of Section C.12
D-1 Proposed Project and All Alternatives . . . . .	D-3
D-2 Environmentally Superior Project Components . . . . .	D-17